arXiv:1701.06336v1 [math.AP] 23 Jan 2017

# Sharp Hardy and Hardy–Sobolev inequalities with point singularities on the boundary

G. Barbatis* S. Filippas † A. Tertikas †

January 24, 2017

## Contents

**1 Introduction and main results** **2**

**2 Distance from the vertex of a cone** **9**

2.1 The general case . . . . . 10

2.2 Improved inequalities in half balls . . . . . 14

**3 Hardy inequality in bounded domains** **16**

**4 Improved Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev inequalities for bounded domains** **18**

**5 Characterizing maximal potentials** **22**

**6 Logarithmic improvements and maximal potentials** **27**

6.1 Logarithmic improvements . . . . . 27

6.2 Maximal logarithmic potentials . . . . . 29

**7 Further results** **33**

7.1 Maximal potentials in finite cones . . . . . 33

7.2 Hardy-Sobolev inequalities involving multiple boundary points . . . . . 35

**Abstract**

We initially study the Hardy inequality when the singularity is placed on the boundary of a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfies both an interior and exterior ball condition at the singularity. We obtain the sharp Hardy constant $n^2/4$ in case the exterior ball is large enough and show the necessity of the large exterior ball condition. We improve Hardy inequality with the best constant by adding a sharp Sobolev term. We next produce criteria that lead to characterizing maximal potentials that improve Hardy inequality. Breaking the criteria one produces successive improvements with sharp constants. Our approach goes through in less regular domains, like cones. In the case of a cone, contrary to the smooth case, the Sobolev constant does depend on the opening of the cone.

*Department of Mathematics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15784 Athens, Greece
†Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, 70013 Heraklion, Greece
Email addresses: gbarbatis@math.uoa.gr; filippas@uoc.gr; tertikas@uoc.gr

**Keywords:** Hardy inequality, Hardy constant, boundary singularity, Sobolev inequality, maximal potential, best constant, conformality.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35A23, 35J20, 35J75 (46E35, 26D10, 35J60)

# 1 Introduction and main results

For $n \geq 3$ Hardy inequality states, that for any $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \ ,$$

where $\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ is the best constant. On the other hand Sobolev inequality reads as follows

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq S_n \bigg( \int_\Omega |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$$

where $S_n = \pi n(n-2) \left(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})/\Gamma(n)\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ is the best Sobolev constant.

There are various improved versions of either Hardy or Sobolev inequalities in the case of a bounded domain $\Omega$ containing the origin see e.g [7, 23, 22, 1, 16, 3, 4, 15, 6, 5]. We mention in particular the following sharp Hardy–Sobolev inequality from [16, 2] that combines both inequalities

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + (n-2)^{-\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} S_n \bigg( \int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Here $X_1 = X_1(|x|/D)$, with

$$X_1(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \ln t}, \quad t \in (0,1), \qquad D := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x| \ .$$

A natural question is what are the analogues of Hardy and Hardy–Sobolev inequalities in case the origin is on the boundary of $\Omega$ instead of being in the interior. As we shall see, contrary to the previous case, the geometry of $\Omega$ plays an important role. In the simplest case of the half space $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{(x', x_n) : \ x_n > 0\}$, Hardy inequality with best constant reads (cf. [21, 17])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx, \qquad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \ . \tag{1}$$

In the more general case where the domain is a cone $\mathscr{C}$ with its vertex at the origin the sharp Hardy inequality reads (cf. [21])

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx, \qquad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $\Sigma = \mathscr{C} \cap S^{n-1}$ and $\mu_1(\Sigma)$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Sigma$.

If on the other hand, the origin is on the boundary of a smooth near zero domain, then, related types of problems have been studied in [18, 19, 12, 20]. More precisely the following minimization problem has been considered for $0 < s < 2$ and $n \geq 4$,

$$\mu_s(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left( \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{\frac{2(n-s)}{n-2}}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-s}}} \ , \tag{3}$$

and it was established that the geometry of $\Omega$ around zero plays an important role. In particular if the mean curvature at zero is negative then

$$\mu_s(\Omega) < \mu_s(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \ ,$$

and there exists a minimizer for (3). In the limit case $s = 2$ the infimum $\mu_2(\Omega)$ is the best Hardy constant and under certain geometric assumptions on $\Omega$ has been studied in [8, 9, 10, 11, 14].

In [13] it was realized that the geometry plays no role for the local best Hardy constant. That is, for $r > 0$ small enough if we denote by $B_r$ the ball of radius $r$ centered at the origin, then for a smooth near zero domain $\Omega$ one has

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx, \qquad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r) \ , \tag{4}$$

which in particular implies the existence of a constant $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \qquad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \ .$$

The first question we raise in this work is to find a more quantitative result that connects the local inequality (4) to the global inequality in the half space (1). To state our results we first introduce some notation. We denote by $B_\rho(x_0)$ the ball of radius $\rho$ centered at $x_0$ and simply by $B_\rho$ in case the ball is centered at the origin. For annuli we use the notation

$$\mathscr{D}(x_0; r_1, r_2) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ r_1 < |x - x_0| < r_2\}.$$

or simply $\mathscr{D}(r_1, r_2)$ in case $x_0 = 0$. Also, $e_n$ is the unit vector in the $x_n$ direction.

In our first result we consider the case of annulus:

**Theorem 1** *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a constant $\tau_n > 0$ which depends only on $n$ such that*
(i) *For all $0 < \tau \leq \tau_n$, all $\rho > 0$ and all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{D}(\rho, \rho(1+\tau)))$ there holds*

$$\int_{\mathscr{D}(\rho,\rho(1+\tau))} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\mathscr{D}(\rho,\rho(1+\tau))} \frac{u^2}{|x - \rho e_n|^2} dx \, ; \tag{5}$$

(ii) *For all $\tau > \tau_n$ and for all $\rho > 0$ we have*

$$\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 < \lambda_\tau := \inf_{C_c^\infty(\mathscr{D}(\rho,\rho(1+\tau)))} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{D}(\rho,\rho(1+\tau))} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathscr{D}(\rho,\rho(1+\tau))} \frac{u^2}{|x-\rho e_n|^2} dx} < \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

*Moreover $\lambda_\tau$ is strictly decreasing in $(\tau_n, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} \lambda_\tau = (n-2)^2/4$.*

We note that this result implies in a straightforward way inequality (1) for the half-space by using the inclusion

$$B_R^+ \subset \mathscr{D}\big( -\frac{R}{\tau_n} e_n; \, \frac{R}{\tau_n}, \, \frac{R(1+\tau_n)}{\tau_n}\big)$$

for any $R > 0$.

Our next result is a consequence of Theorem 1 and addresses the case of a general domain $\Omega$.

**Theorem 2** *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a domain with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ having the property that there exists a ball $B_\rho(-\rho e_n) \subset \mathcal{C}\overline{\Omega}$ and let $\tau_n$ be the constant appearing in Theorem 1. Then the following hold true:*
*(a) (local inequality) For all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap \mathscr{D}(-\rho e_n; \, \rho, \rho(1+\tau_n)))$ there holds*

$$\int_{\Omega\cap\mathscr{D}(-\rho e_n;\, \rho,\rho(1+\tau_n))} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap\mathscr{D}(-\rho e_n;\, \rho,\rho(1+\tau_n))} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \ . \tag{6}$$

*In particular for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_{\rho\tau_n})$ there holds*

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_{\rho\tau_n}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_{\rho\tau_n}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \ . \tag{7}$$

*(b) (global inequality) If* $\Omega \subset \mathscr{D}(-\rho e_n;\, \rho, \rho(1+\tau_n))$ *then for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ *there holds*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \ . \tag{8}$$

*In particular if* $\Omega$ *is bounded and* $\rho\tau_n \geq D := \sup_\Omega |x|$ *then*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \ ,$$

*for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

*If in addition* $\Omega$ *satisfies an interior ball condition at* $0$ *then the constant* $n^2/4$ *is sharp in all inequalities.*




A domain $\Omega$ with Hardy constant $n^2/4$ (cf. Theorem 2(b))

Thus in the case of a smooth (near zero) domain $\Omega$, if the largest exterior ball at zero is large enough compared to the size of $\Omega$ then the Hardy constant is $n^2/4$. If however the exterior ball is not large enough then the Hardy constant is smaller than $n^2/4$, see the example at the end of Section 3 and the figure below.




A domain $\Omega$ with Hardy constant smaller than $n^2/4$

We next improve Hardy inequality by adding a Sobolev term:

**Theorem 3** *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a domain with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and having the property that there exists a ball $B_\rho(-\rho e_n) \subset \mathcal{C}\overline{\Omega}$. There exist $\sigma_n > 0$ and a constant $C_n$ that both depend only on $n$ such that the following holds true:*
*(a) (local inequality) For all $r \leq \sigma_n \rho$ there holds*

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)$; here $X_1 = X_1(|x|/3r)$.*

*(b) (global inequality) Suppose in addition $\Omega$ is bounded and $D = \sup_\Omega |x|$.*

*(1) (small exterior ball) Assume that $\rho \leq \frac{1}{3}D$. There exist constants $\lambda_n, C_n > 0$ depending only on $n$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda_n}{\rho^2}\int_\Omega u^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$; here $X_1 = X_1(|x|/D)$.*

*(2) (large exterior ball) Assume that $\rho \geq \frac{1}{\sigma_n}D$. There exists $C_n > 0$ depending only on $n$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Here $X_1 = X_1(|x|/3D)$.*

*If in addition $\Omega$ satisfies an interior ball condition at $0$ then the constant $n^2/4$ and the exponent $(2n-2)/(n-2)$ of $X_1$ are sharp in all inequalities.*

In particular, in the case of a smooth (near zero) domain $\Omega$, there always exists a nonnegative constant $\lambda$ (that depends on $\Omega$) so that we have

$$\lambda\int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)\ .$$

Using Holder's inequality one easily concludes the existence of homogeneous improvements of the form

$$\lambda\int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + C\int_\Omega Vu^2 dx, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \tag{9}$$

where the potential $V$ is nonnegative and the constant $C$ is positive. This is the case for instance if the potential $V$ belongs in $L^{n/2}_{\rm loc}(\overline{\Omega}\setminus\{0\})$ and satisfies

$$\int_\Omega V^{n/2}X_1^{1-n}dx < +\infty\ . \tag{10}$$

The potential $V(x) = X_1^\alpha/|x|^2$, $\alpha > 2$, for example, satisfies (10).

In connection with this we make the following definition

**Definition 1** *A non-negative potential $V \in L^{n/2}_{\rm loc}(\overline{\Omega}\setminus\{0\})$ is called* admissible *if there exist $\lambda \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ such that (9) holds. The class of all admissible potentials for the domain $\Omega$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.*

For a given $V \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ we denote by $b(\lambda) > 0$ the best constant $C$ of inequality (9). We next address the question whether inequality (9) with best constant $b(\lambda)$ can be further improved. That is, whether there exists potential $W \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and a positive constant $C$ such that the following inequality holds true as well

$$\lambda\int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + b(\lambda)\int_\Omega Vu^2 dx + C\int_\Omega Wu^2 dx, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)\ .$$

In case there does not exist such a potential $W$ we say that the potential

$$\frac{n^2}{4}\frac{1}{|x|^2}+b(\lambda)V(x)\ ,$$

is a *maximal potential*. Our next goal is to characterize maximal potentials. In this direction for $V\in\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and small $r>0$ we define

$$C_r(V)=\inf_{u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)}\frac{\lambda\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}u^2dx+\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}|\nabla u|^2dx-\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}Vu^2dx}.$$

Since $C_r(V)$ is a non-increasing function we can define

$$\mathcal{C}^0(V)=\lim_{r\to 0+}C_r(V),$$

which may also be equal to $+\infty$. This definition gives the impression that $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$ might depend on the choice of $\lambda$, however we establish in Section 5 that $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$ is independent of $\lambda$. We also define

**Definition 2** *Suppose $\Omega$ is a bounded domain. We say that the potential $V\in\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is subcritical if $\mathcal{C}^0(V)=+\infty$.*

We now can state our next result

**Theorem 4** *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 3$, be a bounded domain with $0\in\partial\Omega$ and satisfying both an interior as well as an exterior ball condition at zero. Let $V$ be a non-negative potential in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. (a) Let $\lambda\geq 0$ and $b(\lambda)>0$ be such that*

$$\lambda\int_\Omega u^2dx+\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2dx\geq\frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx+b(\lambda)\int_\Omega Vu^2dx,\quad u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega),\tag{11}$$

*where $b(\lambda)$ is the best constant. If in addition*

$$b(\lambda)<\mathcal{C}^0(V)\ ,$$

*then the potential $n^2/4|x|^2+b(\lambda)V(x)$ is a maximal potential, that is inequality (11) cannot be improved by adding a non-negative potential $W$ in the RHS.*
*(b) If $V$ is a subcritical potential then there exist $\lambda\geq 0$ and a best constant $b(\lambda)>0$ such that (11) is true. Moreover the potential $n^2/4|x|^2+b(\lambda)V(x)$ is a maximal potential.*

It turns out (see Lemma 17) that the potentials considered earlier in (10) are subcritical ones and therefore the corresponding potentials $n^2/4|x|^2+b(\lambda)V(x)$ are maximal.

We consider the case of successively improving Hardy inequality. Clearly one has to consider potentials $V$ for which $b(\lambda)=\mathcal{C}^0(V)$. Before stating our result we first define the iterated logarithms (cf. [16])

$$X_{k+1}(t)=X_k(X_1(t)),\qquad t\in(0,1],\qquad k=1,2,\dots$$

One can check that for $t\in(0,1)$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty X_1(t)X_2(t)\dots X_i(t)$ converges and that it is a strictly increasing function of $t$. We denote by $\kappa$ the unique $\kappa>1$ for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty X_1(1/\kappa)\dots X_i(1/\kappa)=1/4.$$

We then have

**Theorem 5** *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 2$, be a domain with $0\in\partial\Omega$ and having the property that there exists a ball $B_\rho(-\rho e_n)\subset\mathcal{C}\bar{\Omega}$. There exist $\sigma_n>0$ and $C_n>0$ that depend only on $n$ such that the following holds true: (a) (local inequality) For all $r\leq\sigma_n\rho$ there holds*

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}|\nabla u|^2dx\quad\geq\quad\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^\infty\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx,$$

*for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)$*; here* $X_i = X_i(|x|/3\kappa r)$.

*(b) (global inequality) Suppose in addition* $\Omega$ *is bounded and* $D = \sup_\Omega |x|$.

*(1) (small exterior ball) Assume that* $\rho \le \frac{1}{3\kappa} D$. *Then there exists* $\lambda_n > 0$ *depending only on* $n$ *such that*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda_n}{\rho^2} \int_\Omega u^2 dx \quad \ge \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx$$

*for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$*; here* $X_i = X_i(|x|/D)$.

*(2) (large exterior ball) Assume that* $\rho \ge \frac{1}{\sigma} D$. *Then*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad \ge \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx,$$

*for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$*; here* $X_i = X_i(\sigma_n |x|/(3\kappa D))$.

*If in addition* $\Omega$ *satisfies an interior ball condition at* $0$ *then the constants* $1/4$ *are sharp at each step in all inequalities. For example for the last inequality we have*

$$\inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 dx} = \frac{1}{4} \ ,$$

*and for each* $m = 2, 3, \dots$

$$\inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx}{\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_m^2 dx} = \frac{1}{4} \ .$$

We also have the Hardy-Sobolev analogues:

**Theorem 6** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 3$ *be a domain with* $0 \in \partial\Omega$ *and having the property that there exists a ball* $B_\rho(-\rho e_n) \subset \mathcal{C}\bar{\Omega}$. *There exist* $\sigma_n > 0$ *and* $C_n > 0$ *that depend only on* $n$ *such that for any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *the following holds true:*

*(a) (local inequality) For all* $r \le \sigma_n \rho$ *there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad \ge \quad & \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \\ & + C_n \bigg( \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

*for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)$*; here* $X_i = X_i(|x|/3\kappa r)$.

*(b) (global inequality) Suppose in addition* $\Omega$ *is bounded and* $D = \sup_\Omega |x|$. *Then*

*(1) (small exterior ball) Assume that* $3\kappa\rho \le D$. *Then there exist constants* $\lambda_n$, $C_n > 0$ *depending only on* $n$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda_n}{\rho^2} \int_\Omega u^2 dx \quad \ge \quad & \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \\ & + C_n \left( \int_\Omega (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

*for all* $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$*; here* $X_i = X_i(D)$.

*(2) (large exterior ball) Assume that $\sigma_n\rho \geq D$. Then there exist constants $C_n > 0$ depending only on $n$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx &\geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2 dx \\ &\quad + C_n\left(\int_\Omega (X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},\end{aligned}$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$; here $X_i = X_i(\sigma_n|x|/(3\kappa D))$.*

*If in addition $\Omega$ satisfies an interior ball condition at 0 then the constants $1/4$ are sharp and the exponents $(2n-2)/(n-2)$ of $X_i$ are also sharp.*

Theorems 5 and 6 are applicable in particular in the case of half balls. For instance we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2 dx \\ &\quad + C_n\left(\int_{B_R+} (X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},\end{aligned}$$

In fact we have produced a stronger result than (12), see Lemmas 13 and 14. In addition we have produced similar results for the case of finite cones, see Section 2.

We finally describe maximal potentials in the context of logarithmic improvements.

**Definition 3** *Suppose $\Omega$ is a bounded domain. A non-negative potential $V \in L^{n/2}_{\rm loc}(\overline{\Omega}\setminus\{0\})$ is called* $m$-admissible *if there exist $\lambda \geq 0$, $\tilde{D} \geq D := \sup_\Omega |x|$ and $c > 0$ such that*

$$\lambda\int_\Omega u^2dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx + C\int_\Omega Vu^2dx, \quad u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)\ , \quad (12)$$

*where $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$. The class of all $m$-admissible potentials for the domain $\Omega$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$.*

We note that there is a big variety of $m$-admissible potentials. For example if $V$ satisfies

$$\int_\Omega V^{\frac{n}{2}}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{1-n}dx < +\infty, \quad (13)$$

where $X_i = X_i(|x|/D)$, $i = 1,\dots,m+1$, then $V$ is $m$-admissible by Theorem 6.

For a given $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ we denote by $b_m(\lambda) > 0$ the best constant $C$ of inequality (12). We next address the question whether inequality (12) with best constant $b_m(\lambda)$ can be further improved. That is, whether there exists potential $W \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ and a positive constant $C$ such that the following inequality holds true as well

$$\lambda\int_\Omega u^2dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \sum_{i=1}^m\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx + b_m(\lambda)\int_\Omega Vu^2dx + C\int_\Omega Wu^2dx\ ,$$

for $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. In case there does not exist such a potential $W$, we say that the potential

$$\frac{n^2}{4}\frac{1}{|x|^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{X_1^2\dots X_i^2}{|x|^2} + b_m(\lambda)V(x)\ ,$$

is an *$m$–maximal potential*. Our next goal is to characterize $m$–maximal potentials. In this direction for $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ and small $r > 0$ we define

$$C_{m,r}(V) = \inf_{u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)} \frac{\lambda\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} u^2dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r}|\nabla u|^2dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}Vu^2dx},$$

where $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$ and $\tilde{D} \geq D$. We also define

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(V) = \lim_{r \to 0+} C_{m,r}(V),$$

which may also equal $+\infty$.

**Definition 4** *Suppose $\Omega$ is a bounded domain. We say that the potential $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ is $m$-subcritical if $\mathcal{C}_m^0 = +\infty$.*

It turns out for example (see Lemma 24) that potentials that satisfy (13) are $m$-subcritical. We then have

**Theorem 7** *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded domain with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and satisfying both an interior and an exterior ball condition at zero. Let $V$ be a non-negative potential in $\mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{D} \geq D$. (a) Let $\lambda \geq 0$ and $b_m(\lambda) > 0$ be such that*

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^m \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_k^2 dx + b_m(\lambda) \int_\Omega V u^2 dx, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \tag{14}$$

*where $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$ and $b_m(\lambda)$ is the best constant. If in addition*

$$b_m(\lambda) < \mathcal{C}_m^0 \ ,$$

*then the potential $n^2/4|x|^2 + 1/4|x|^2 \sum_{k=1}^m X_1^2 \dots X_k^2 + b_m(\lambda) V(x)$ is a maximal potential, that is inequality (14) cannot be improved by adding a non-negative potential $W$ in the RHS.*
*(b) If $V$ is an $m$-subcritical potential then there exist $\lambda \geq 0$ and a best constant $b_m(\lambda) > 0$ such that (14) is true. Moreover the potential $n^2/4|x|^2 + 1/4|x|^2 \sum_{k=1}^m X_1^2 \dots X_k^2 + b_m(\lambda) V(x)$ is a maximal potential.*

Analogues to Theorems 3–7 hold true if the domain $\Omega$ is a cone with vertex at zero, see Sections 2 and 7. What is interesting in this case is that the Sobolev constant does depend on the cone. In particular if $\mathscr{C}_1 := \mathscr{C} \cap B_1$, we have

**Theorem 8** *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists a positive constant $C$ that depends only on $\Sigma$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \Big( \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} + \mu_1(\Sigma) \Big) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + C \left( \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \tag{15}$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$; here $X_1 = X_1(|x|)$. The exponent $(2n-2)/(n-2)$ of $X_1$ is the best possible. Moreover the best constant $C$ for inequality (15) satisfies the estimate*

$$C \leq C_n |\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}} \tag{16}$$

*for some positive constant $C_n$ that depends only on $n$. In particular the best constant $C$ of inequality (15) cannot be taken to be independent of $\Sigma$.*

Finally, a similar analysis goes through if one has potentials with multiple singularities on the boundary, see Theorem 34 for one such result.

## 2 Distance from the vertex of a cone

In this section we consider the case of the finite cone and we obtain both homogeneous and nonhomogeneous improvements of the Hardy inequality (2). We pay particular attention to the special case where the cone is the half ball $B_R^+$. In this case the estimates we obtain are stronger than in the case of a general cone and play a crucial role in our subsequent analysis.

Let $\Sigma \subset S^{n-1}$ be a domain in $S^{n-1}$ (that is a set that is open and connected in the relative topology) with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\mu_k = \mu_k(\Sigma)$ be the $k$th Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Sigma$ and let $\phi_k$ be a corresponding eigenfunction that is,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{S^{n-1}}\phi_k(\omega) = \mu_k\phi_k(\omega), & \omega \in \Sigma\ , \\ \phi_k\big|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We may assume that $\{\phi_k\}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Sigma)$. We note that $\mu_1$ is a simple eigenvalue and we take $\phi_1$ to be positive.

We define

$$\mathscr{C} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : \quad \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Sigma\}\ .$$

and

$$\mathscr{C}_1 = \mathscr{C} \cap B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : \quad \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Sigma\,, |x| < 1\}\ .$$

## 2.1 The general case

We begin by recalling the following well-known result; see [21].

**Theorem 9** *For $n \geq 2$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma)\right) \int_{\mathscr{C}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx, \qquad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C})\ .$$

*Moreover the constant $(\frac{n-2}{2})^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma)$ is sharp.*

***Proof.*** Using polar decomposition we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathscr{C}} |\nabla u|^2 dx &= \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \int_\Sigma \Big(u_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}|\nabla_\omega u|^2\Big) dS\, dr \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \int_\Sigma \frac{u^2}{r^2} dS\, dr + \mu_1(\Sigma) \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \int_\Sigma \frac{u^2}{r^2} dS\, dr \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma)\right) \int_{\mathscr{C}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx\ . \end{aligned}$$

The optimality of the constant is proved by considering the functions

$$u_\epsilon(x) = v_\epsilon(r)\phi_1(\omega)$$

where $v_\epsilon(r)$ are radial functions with compact support in $(0,1)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\displaystyle\int_0^1 r^{n-1} v_{\varepsilon,r}^2 dr}{\displaystyle\int_0^1 r^{n-1} \frac{v_\varepsilon^2}{r^2} dr} \longrightarrow \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0$$

and $\phi_1$ is the first Dirichlet eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Sigma$. □

We next give the proof of Theorem 8.

***Proof of Theorem 8.*** Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$ be given and let

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty u_k(r)\phi_k(\omega)$$

be its decomposition into the spherical harmonics of $\Sigma$. We then have

$$u_k(r) = \int_\Sigma u(x)\phi_k(\omega)dS(\omega).$$

Let $\omega_{n-1}$ denote the surface measure of the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$. Throughout this proof for any radial function $G$ (which sometimes shall be written as $G(x)$ and sometimes as $G(r)$) we shall use the notation

$$\int_{B_1} G(x)dx = \omega_{n-1}\int_0^1 G(r)r^{n-1}dr.$$

It then easily follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla u|^2dx &= \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_1|^2+\mu_1\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}\Big)dx+\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\sum_{k=2}^\infty\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_k|^2+\mu_k\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}\Big)dx\\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_1|^2+\mu_1\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}\Big)dx+\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla(u-u_1\phi_1)|^2dx\,.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover for any bounded radial function $G$ we have

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}Gu^2dx = \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\int_{B_1}Gu_1^2dx+\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}G(u-u_1\phi_1)^2dx\,.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla u|^2dx-\Big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}+\mu_1\Big)\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big[\int_{B_1}|\nabla u_1|^2dx-\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2\int_{B_1}\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}dx\Big]\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\sum_{k=2}^\infty\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_k|^2+\mu_k\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}-\Big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}+\mu_1\Big)\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}\Big)dx\\ &\quad\geq \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big[\int_{B_1}|\nabla u_1|^2dx-\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2\int_{B_1}\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}dx\Big]\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\cdot\frac{\mu_2-\mu_1}{(\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_2}\sum_{k=2}^\infty\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_k|^2+\mu_k\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}\Big)dx\\ &\quad\geq C_n\Big(\int_{B_1}X_1^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|u_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+\frac{\mu_2-\mu_1}{(\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_2}\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla(u-u_1\phi_1)|^2dx\\ &\quad\geq \frac{C_n}{1+\mu_1}\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}X_1^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|u_1\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+\frac{(\mu_2-\mu_1)S_n}{(\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_2}\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|u-u_1\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\\ &\quad\geq C(n,\Sigma)\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}X_1^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

For the optimality of the exponent, suppose to the contrary that there exists $p<(2n-2)/(n-2)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla u|^2dx\geq\Big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}+\mu_1(\Sigma)\Big)\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx+C\left(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}X_1^p|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

for all $u\in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$. Considering functions $u$ of the form $u(x)=v(r)\phi_1(\omega)$ with $v(1)=0$ we obtain that any such $v$ satisfies

$$\int_{B_1}|\nabla v|^2dx\geq\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2\int_{B_1}\frac{v^2}{|x|^2}dx+C\left(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}X_1^p|v|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}. \tag{17}$$

This is a contradiction since the best exponent of $X_1$ in (17) is $2(n-1)/(n-2)$; see [16].

To prove estimate (16) we test inequality (15) with a function of the form $u(x) = v(r)\phi_1(\omega)$. Then an easy calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} C &\leq \frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} + \mu_1\right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\left(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B_1} \left[|\nabla v|^2 dx - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \frac{v^2}{|x|^2}\right] dx}{\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{B_1} |v|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \left(\int_\Sigma |\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dS\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing with respect to $v$ (see [2, Theorem B]) we conclude that

$$C \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{2}{n}} (n-2)^{-\frac{2}{n}} S_n}{\left(\int_\Sigma |\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dS\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}.$$

By the normalization of $\phi_1$ and Hölder inequality we conclude that

$$C \leq \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{2(n-1)}{n}} (n-2)^{-\frac{2}{n}} S_n |\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

which concludes the proof. □

In a similar fashion we obtain

**Theorem 10** *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists a constant $C$ that depends only on $\Sigma$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\geq \left(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} + \mu_1(\Sigma)\right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$; here $X_i = X_i(|x|)$. Each constant $1/4$ is the best possible, that is,*

$$\inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left((\frac{n-2}{2})^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma)\right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 dx} = \frac{1}{4},$$

*and for each $m = 2, 3, \dots$*

$$\inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left((\frac{n-2}{2})^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma)\right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx}{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_m^2 dx} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

*The exponent $(2n-2)/(n-2)$ is the best possible. Moreover the best constant $C$ for inequality (18) satisfies the estimate*

$$C \leq C_n |\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}} \tag{19}$$

*for some positive constant $C_n$ that depends only on $n$. In particular the best constant $C$ of inequality (18) cannot be taken to be independent of $\Sigma$.*

***Proof.*** Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 8 we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla u|^2dx-\Big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}+\mu_1\Big)\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx\\
=\;&\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big[\int_{B_1}|\nabla u_1|^2dx-\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2\int_{B_1}\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}dx-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{B_1}\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx\Big]\\
&+\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\sum_{k=2}^\infty\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_k|^2+\mu_k\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}-\Big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}+\mu_1\Big)\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2\Big)dx\\
\geq\;&\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big[\int_{B_1}|\nabla u_1|^2dx-\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2\int_{B_1}\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}dx-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{B_1}\frac{u_1^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx\Big]\\
&+\frac{\mu_2-\mu_1}{2\big[(\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_2\big]}\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\sum_{k=2}^\infty\int_{B_1}\Big(|\nabla u_k|^2+\mu_k\frac{u_k^2}{|x|^2}\Big)dx\\
\geq\;&C_n\Big(\int_{B_1}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|u_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+\frac{\mu_2-\mu_1}{2\big[(\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_2\big]}\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla(u-u_1\phi_1)|^2dx\\
\geq\;&\frac{C_n}{1+\mu_1}\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|u_1\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+\frac{(\mu_2-\mu_1)S_n}{2\big[(\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_2\big]}\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|u-u_1\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\\
\geq\;&C(n,\Sigma)\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the optimality of the constants $1/4$ we make once again the choice $u(x)=v(r)\phi_1(\omega)$ to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)}\frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla u|^2dx-\big((\frac{n-2}{2})^2+\mu_1(\Sigma)\big)\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx}{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_m^2dx}\\
\leq\;&\inf_{C_c^\infty(B_1)}\frac{\int_{B_1}|\nabla v|^2dx-\big(\frac{n-2}{2}\big)^2\int_{B_1}\frac{v^2}{|x|^2}dx-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\int_{B_1}\frac{v^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx}{\int_{B_1}\frac{v^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_m^2dx}\\
=\;&\frac{1}{4},
\end{aligned}$$

by [16, Theorem 6.1]. The optimality of the exponent in the Sobolev term follows as before from the optimality of the corresponding exponent of the Hardy-Sobolev inequality for an interior point, [16, Theorem A'].

Finally to prove estimate (19) we once again test inequality (18) with a function of the form $u(x)=v(r)\phi_1(\omega)$. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C\;\leq\;&\frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|\nabla u|^2dx-\big(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}+\mu_1\big)\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx}{\Big(\int_{\mathscr{C}_1}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}\\
=\;&\frac{\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\int_{B_1}\Big[|\nabla v|^2dx-\big(\frac{n-2}{2}\big)^2\frac{v^2}{|x|^2}-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{B_1}\frac{v^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2\Big]dx}{\Big(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}}\int_{B_1}|v|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\Big(\int_\Sigma|\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dS\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Minimizing with respect to $v$ (see [2, Theorem B]) and using Hölder inequality we conclude that

$$C\leq\frac{\omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{2}{n}}(n-2)^{-\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}S_n}{\Big(\int_\Sigma|\phi_1|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dS\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}\leq\omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{2}{n}}(n-2)^{-\frac{2}{n}}S_n|\Sigma|^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

which concludes the proof. □

**Theorem 11** *Let $n \geq 2$. There holds*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$; here $X_i = X_i(|x|)$. Each constant $1/4$ is sharp.*

***Proof.*** This follows from Theorem 10 by letting $m \to +\infty$. The optimality of the constants $1/4$ has been established in Theorem 10. □

## 2.2 Improved inequalities in half balls

The case of half ball where $\Sigma = S_+^{n-1}$ is of particular importance for our approach. In this case the Hardy constant becomes

$$\Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 + n - 1 = \frac{n^2}{4},$$

and the Sobolev constants of Theorems 8 and 10 depend only on $n$. As a consequence of the results of Subsection 2.1 we have the following sharp inequalities for all functions $u \in C_c^\infty(B_R^+)$:

$$\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + C_n \left( \int_{B_R^+} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad &\geq \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \\ &\quad + C_n \left( \int_{B_R^+} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_R^+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \,, \tag{22}$$

where $X_i = X_i(|x|/R)$.

For our purposes we shall need the stronger inequalities that will be stated in the next three lemmas. We remind that $\kappa$ is the unique $\kappa > 1$ for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_1(1/\kappa) \dots X_i(1/\kappa) = 1/4. \tag{23}$$

In this respect we note that the series

$$\eta(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_1(t) X_2(t) \dots X_i(t) \,,$$

converges locally uniformly in $[0,1)$, see [16]. We also denote for $t \in (0,1)$,

$$B(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_1^2(t) X_2^2(t) \dots X_i^2(t) \,.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta(t) = \frac{1}{2t}\big(\eta(t)^2 + B(t)\big) \,.$$

We next have.

**Lemma 12** *For any $R>0$ there holds*

$$\int_{B_R^+}|\nabla u|^2dx\geq\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx+\frac{1}{4R^{1/2}}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^{3/2}}dx, \tag{24}$$

*for all $u\in C_c^\infty(B_R^+)$; here $X_i=X_i(|x|/\kappa R)$.*

***Proof.*** Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a $C^1$ vector field in $B_R^+$ and $u\in C_c^\infty(B_R^+)$. We have

$$\int_{B_R^+}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{T}\,u^2dx=-2\int_{B_R^+}u\nabla u\cdot\mathbf{T}dx\leq\int_{B_R^+}\big(|\nabla u|^2+|\mathbf{T}|^2u^2\big)dx$$

and therefore

$$\int_{B_R^+}|\nabla u|^2dx\geq\int_{B_R^+}\Big(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{T}-|\mathbf{T}|^2\Big)u^2dx\,.$$

We shall apply this for the vector field

$$\mathbf{T}=\frac{n}{2}\frac{x}{|x|^2}-\frac{e_n}{x_n}+\frac{\eta}{2}\frac{x}{|x|^2}+\frac{1}{2(R^{1/2}-|x|^{1/2})}\frac{x}{|x|^{3/2}}\,,\qquad(\eta=\eta(|x|/\kappa R)).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{T}&=\frac{n(n-2)}{2|x|^2}+\frac{1}{x_n^2}+\frac{n-2}{2|x|^2}\eta+\frac{\eta^2+B}{4|x|^2}\\&\quad+\frac{n-\frac{3}{2}}{(R^{1/2}-|x|^{1/2})|x|^{3/2}}+\frac{1}{(R^{1/2}-|x|^{1/2})^2|x|},\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{T}-|\mathbf{T}|^2&=\frac{n^2}{4|x|^2}+\frac{1}{4|x|^2}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}X_1^2\dots X_i^2+\frac{\frac{1}{2}-\eta}{(R^{1/2}-|x|^{1/2})|x|^{3/2}}\\&\geq\frac{n^2}{4|x|^2}+\frac{1}{4|x|^2}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}X_1^2\dots X_i^2+\frac{1}{4R^{1/2}|x|^{3/2}},\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

**Lemma 13** *Let $n\geq3$. There exists a constant $C_n$ that depends only on $n$ such that for any $R>0$ there holds*

$$\int_{B_R^+}|\nabla u|^2dx\geq\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx+\frac{1}{8R^{1/2}}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^{3/2}}dx+C_n\left(\int_{B_R^+}X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

*for all $u\in C_c^\infty(B_R^+)$; here $X_1=X_1(|x|/R)$.*

***Proof.*** The result follows by taking a convex combination of (20) and (24) and discarding the logarithmic terms that do not come with the sharp constant; see also the next lemma. □

**Lemma 14** *Let $n\geq3$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$. There exists a constant $C_n$ that depends only on $n$ such that for all $R>0$ there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R^+}|\nabla u|^2dx&\geq\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^2}X_1^2\dots X_i^2dx\\&\quad+\frac{1}{8R^{1/2}}\int_{B_R^+}\frac{u^2}{|x|^{3/2}}dx+C_n\left(\int_{B_R^+}(X_1\dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},\end{aligned}$$

*for all $u\in C_c^\infty(B_R^+)$; here $X_i=X_i(|x|/\kappa R)$.*

***Proof.*** This follows by taking a convex combination of (21) and (24). □

# 3 Hardy inequality in bounded domains

For the rest of this work $\Omega$ is a bounded domain with zero on its boundary and satisfying an exterior ball condition at zero, that is, there exists a ball $B \subset \mathcal{C}\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\overline{B} \cap \overline{\Omega} = \{0\}$. We also denote $D := \sup_{x\in\Omega} |x|$.

In this section we will provide the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 and we will give an example showing the necessity of a relatively large exterior ball that appears in Theorem 2.

We initially establish that $n^2/4$ is an upper bound for the Hardy constant under an interior ball condition.

**Lemma 15** *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a domain with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ satisfying an interior ball condition at 0. Then for any $r > 0$ we have*

$$\inf_{u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)} \frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx} \leq \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

***Proof.*** Without loss of generality we may assume that the interior ball is $B_\rho(\rho e_n)$ and satisfies

$$B_\rho(\rho e_n) \subset \Omega \cap B_r\,,$$

therefore it is enough to establish that

$$\inf_{u\in C_c^\infty(B_\rho(\rho e_n))} \frac{\int_{B_\rho(\rho e_n)} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{B_\rho(\rho e_n)} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx} \leq \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

Using a scaling argument we find that this infimum is equal to

$$\inf_{u\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx},$$

which is equal to $n^2/4$. □

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.

***Proof of Theorem 1.*** It is enough to establish the result for $\rho = 1$, the general case then follows by scaling. To prove (i) it is enough to establish that for small enough $\tau > 0$ we have inequality (5). We apply (22) with $R = 2$ where we place the singularity at $e_n$ and we obtain the inequality

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_1} \frac{u^2}{|x-e_n|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_1} \frac{u^2}{|x-e_n|^2} X_1^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(B_1)\,, \tag{25}$$

where $X_1 = X_1(|x - e_n|/2)$. Next we apply the Kelvin transform

$$u(x) = |y|^{n-2} v(y), \qquad y = \frac{x}{|x|^2}\,.$$

Then by standard calculations using the conformality of the Kelvin transform we have

$$\int_{B_1} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathcal{C}B_1} |\nabla v(y)|^2 dy\,,$$

and since

$$|x - e_n| = \frac{|y - e_n|}{|y|}\,,$$

inequality (25) takes the equivalent form

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}B_1} |\nabla v|^2 dy \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}B_1} \frac{v^2}{|y|^2|y-e_n|^2} dy + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}B_1} \frac{X_1^2}{|y|^2|y-e_n|^2} v^2 dy \tag{26}$$

for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{C}B_1)$, where $X_1 = X_1(|y-e_n|/2|y|)$.

It follows from (26) that for any $\tau > 0$ and any $v \in C_c^\infty(B_{1+\tau} \setminus B_1)$ there holds

$$\int_{B_{1+\tau}\setminus B_1} |\nabla v|^2 dy \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_{1+\tau}\setminus B_1} \frac{v^2}{|y-e_n|^2} dy + \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{1+\tau}\setminus B_1} \frac{n^2 - n^2|y|^2 + X_1^2\left(\frac{|y-e_n|}{2|y|}\right)}{|y|^2|y-e_n|^2} v^2 dy \ .$$

To conclude the proof it suffices to show that the last term above is nonnegative for small enough $\tau > 0$. For this it is enough to have the inequality

$$X_1^2\Big(\frac{|y-e_n|}{2|y|}\Big) \geq n^2\left(|y|^2 - 1\right) \ , \qquad 1 < |y| < 1+\tau \ .$$

Writing $|y| = 1+t$, $0 < t < \tau$, we have that $|y-e_n| \geq t$. Hence

$$X_1^2\Big(\frac{|y-e_n|}{2|y|}\Big) \geq X_1^2\Big(\frac{t}{2(t+1)}\Big) \ ,$$

and therefore it is enough to have

$$X_1^2\Big(\frac{t}{2(t+1)}\Big) \geq n^2 t(t+2), \qquad 0 < t < \tau \ .$$

Since

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{X_1^2(t)}{t} = +\infty,$$

the result follows.

We shall next establish that the set of all $\tau > 0$ for which inequality (5) holds true is bounded and therefore we define

$$\tau_n = \sup\{\tau > 0 : \text{ inequality (5) holds true}\}.$$

For this we first note that for $\tau > 2$ we have the inclusion

$$B_\tau \setminus B_2 \subset B_{1+\tau}(-e_n) \setminus B_1(-e_n).$$

and therefore

$$\inf_{C_c^\infty(\mathscr{D}(-e_n;1,1+\tau))} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{D}(-e_n;1,1+\tau)} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathscr{D}(-e_n;1,1+\tau)} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx} \leq \inf_{C_c^\infty(B_\tau\setminus B_2)} \frac{\int_{B_\tau\setminus B_2} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{B_\tau\setminus B_2} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}.$$

Using the radial function

$$u(r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sin\Big(\frac{\ln(r/2)\,\pi}{\ln(\tau/2)}\Big), \qquad 2 < r < \tau,$$

we easily see that the last infimum is equal to $\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln\frac{\tau}{2}}\right)^2$ and in particular it is smaller than $n^2/4$ if

$$\tau > 2e^{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{n-1}}}.$$

This implies the existence of an $H_0^1$ minimizer (see e.g. [19], Theorem 4.2) and therefore the strict monotonicity of $\lambda_\tau$ for $\tau > \tau_n$. The above computation also gives that $\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} \lambda_\tau \leq (n-2)^2/4$; this combined with the standard Hardy inequality gives $\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} \lambda_\tau = (n-2)^2/4$ thus concluding the proof of the theorem. □

We next have

***Proof of Theorem 2:*** Inequality (6) follows immediately from Theorem 1. Since

$$\Omega \cap B_{\rho\tau_n} \subset \mathscr{D}(-\rho e_n; \rho, \rho(1+\tau_n)),$$

we also obtain (7); in particular the constant $\tau_n$ of the theorem is the one that appears in Theorem 1. Part (b) is an immediate consequence of part (a) since we have $\Omega \subset B_{\rho\tau_n}$ and therefore $\sup_\Omega |x| \leq \rho\tau_n$. The sharpness of the constant $n^2/4$ follows directly from Lemma 15. □

It is natural to ask whether the assumption of having a large exterior ball at zero is necessary in order to have the Hardy inequality with constant $n^2/4$. In the following example we will see that for small exterior balls inequality (8) fails.

**Example.** (see also the second figure in the introduction) Given $\rho \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ we define the domain

$$\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta} = \{x = (x', x_n) \in B_1 \ : \ x_n < \cot\theta |x'| \text{ and } |x - \rho e_n| > \rho\}.$$

Let $\Omega$ be a domain containing $\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta}$ and having the same largest exterior ball at zero, namely $B(\rho e_n, \rho)$.

We denote by $\lambda_1(n, \theta)$ the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on the spherical cap

$$\Sigma_\theta = \{(x', x_n) \in S^{n-1} : x_n < \cot\theta \, |x'|\}.$$

By monotonicity it follows that for $\theta < \pi/2$ we have $\lambda_1(n, \theta) < \lambda_1(n, \pi/2) = n - 1$. We shall prove that if

$$\rho < \frac{1}{2\cos\theta} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{n-1-\lambda_1(n,\theta)}}} , \tag{27}$$

then

$$\inf_{C_c^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx} \leq \inf_{C_c^\infty(\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta})} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta}} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx} < \frac{n^2}{4}, \tag{28}$$

that is the Hardy inequality with constant $n^2/4$ fails in $\Omega$ if the exterior ball at zero is small enough.

***Proof of (28).*** We first note that

$$(B_1 \setminus B_{2\rho\cos\theta}) \cap \{(x', x_n) : x_n < \cot\theta \, |x'|\} \subset \mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta}.$$

Separating variables we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{C_c^\infty(\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta})} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta}} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathscr{A}_{\rho,\theta}} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx} &\leq \inf_{f(2\rho\cos\theta)=f(1)=0} \frac{\int_{2\rho\cos\theta}^1 f'(r)^2 r^{n-1} dr}{\int_{2\rho\cos\theta}^1 f(r)^2 r^{n-3} dr} \\
&\quad + \inf_{g(\theta)=g'(\pi)=0} \frac{\int_\theta^\pi \sin^{n-2} t g'(t)^2 dt}{\int_\theta^\pi \sin^{n-2} t g(t)^2 dt} \\
&= \Big(\frac{n-2}{2}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{\pi}{\ln(2\rho\cos\theta)}\Big)^2 + \lambda_1(n, \theta) \\
&< \frac{n^2}{4},
\end{aligned}$$

by assumption (27).

# 4 Improved Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev inequalities for bounded domains

In this section we shall establish improved Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev inequalities and in particular we will provide the proof of Theorem 3. To prove Theorem 3 we shall need the following lemma.

**Lemma 16** *Let $n \geq 3$. There exist $\sigma_n \in (0, 1)$ and a constant $C_n > 0$, both depending only on $n$, such that for all $\rho > 0$ and all $r \leq \sigma_n \rho$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} \frac{u^2}{|x - \rho e_n|^2} dx \\
&\quad + C_n \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{C}B(\rho) \cap B(\rho e_n, r))$; here $X_1 = X_1(|x - \rho e_n|/3r)$.*

***Proof.*** We establish (29) for $\rho = 1$, the general case will then follow by scaling. The map

$$S(v) = \frac{1}{|v + e_n|^2}(2v', 1 - |v|^2) \tag{30}$$

maps conformally $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ onto the unit ball $B_1$. We note that

$$|S(v)| = \frac{|v - e_n|}{|v + e_n|}. \tag{31}$$

Composing $S$ with the Kelvin transform $K$ we obtain that the map

$$T(v) = (KS)(v) = \frac{1}{|v - e_n|^2}(2v', 1 - |v|^2) \tag{32}$$

maps conformally $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ onto $\mathcal{C}B_1$. The Jaccobian determinant $JS(v)$ of $S$ can be computed explicitly and one finds

$$|JS(v)| = \frac{2^n}{|v + e_n|^{2n}}.$$

The Jaccobian of the Kelvin map $K(y)$ is $|y|^{-2n}$ hence, using also (31), the Jaccobian of $T$ is

$$|JT(v)| = |JK(Sv)|\ |JS(v)| = \frac{2^n |Sv|^{-2n}}{|v + e_n|^{2n}} = \frac{2^n}{|v - e_n|^{2n}}. \tag{33}$$

Now, simple computations give that $S^{-1} = S$ and therefore $T^{-1} = S^{-1}K^{-1} = SK$. From this we find

$$T^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{|x'|^2 + (x_n + 1)^2}(2x', |x|^2 - 1)$$

and therefore

$$|T^{-1}(x)| = \frac{|x - e_n|}{|x + e_n|}. \tag{34}$$

Now let $r < 1$ be fixed (this will be chosen later on) and let $F \in C_c^\infty(T(B_r^+))$ be given. We define the function $G$ on $B_r^+$ by

$$G(v) = F(T(v))|(JT)(v)|^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} = F(T(v))\Big(\frac{2}{|v - e_n|^2}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

We then have by Lemma 13,

$$\int_{B_r^+} |\nabla G|^2 dv \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_r^+} \frac{G^2}{|v|^2}dv + \frac{1}{8r^{1/2}}\int_{B_r^+} \frac{G^2}{|v|^{3/2}}dv + C_n\left(\int_{B_r+} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|G|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dv\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \tag{35}$$

where $X_1 = X_1(|v|/r)$. We next change variables in (35). The energy term is invariant by conformality, that is

$$\int_{B_r^+} |\nabla G|^2 dv = \int_{T(B_r^+)} |\nabla F|^2 dx\,.$$

Using (33) and (34) we also find that

$$\int_{B_r^+} \frac{G^2}{|v|^2}dv = \int_{T(B_r^+)} \frac{4F^2}{|x - e_n|^2|x + e_n|^2}dx\,.$$

The other two integrals in (35) can similarly be transformed and we find that (35) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{T(B_r^+)} |\nabla F|^2 dx \quad \geq \quad & \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{T(B_r^+)} \frac{4F^2}{|x - e_n|^2|x + e_n|^2}dx + \frac{1}{8r^{1/2}}\int_{T(B_r^+)} \frac{4F^2}{|x - e_n|^{3/2}|x + e_n|^{5/2}}dx \\ & + C_n\left(\int_{T(B_r+)} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}|F|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dv\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},\end{aligned}$$

where $X_1 = X_1(|x-e_n|/r|x+e_n|)$. Now, it follows from (34) and some simple geometry that for any $r<1$

$$T(B(r)) = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n \,:\, |x-e_n| < r|x+e_n|\} = B\big(\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}e_n, \frac{2r}{1-r^2}\big) \supset B(e_n, r),$$

therefore

$$T(B_r^+) \supset B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r). \tag{36}$$

We will choose $\sigma_n \in (0,1)$ such that for all $r\le\sigma_n$ and for all $x\in B_1^c\cap B(e_n,r)\subset T(B_r^+)$ there holds

$$\frac{n^2}{4}\frac{4}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{8r^{1/2}}\frac{4}{|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} \ge \frac{n^2}{4|x-e_n|^2},$$

or equivalently,

$$|x-e_n|^{1/2} \ge \frac{n^2}{2}r^{1/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}\Big(1-\frac{4}{|x+e_n|^2}\Big). \tag{37}$$

Indeed, this is immediate for $|x+e_n|\le 2$. Assuming that $|x+e_n|>2$ we set $t=|x-e_n|$. We then have $|x+e_n|\le t+2$ and therefore (37) will follow provided

$$\frac{n^2}{2}r^{1/2}t^{1/2}(t+4)(t+2)^{1/2} \le 1,$$

for all $t\le r$. Simple computations give that the last inequality holds true provided $t\le 4/(75n^4r)$. This will be true for all $t\le r$ and all $r\le\sigma_n$ if $\sigma_n$ is chosen as

$$\sigma_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{75}n^2}.$$

Finally, the inequality $|x+e_n|r\le 3$ implies $X_1(|x-e_n|/r|x+e_n|)\ge X_1(|x-e_n|/3r)$. This completes the proof.

□

***Proof of Theorem 3.*** We shall actually prove that the constant $\sigma_n$ in the statement of the theorem is the same as the constant $\sigma_n$ in the statement of Lemma 16. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\rho=1$, the general case then follows by scaling.

Part (a) follows immediately from Lemma 16 and the inclusions

$$\Omega\cap B_\rho \subset \mathcal{C}B(-e_n,1)\cap B_\rho \subset \mathcal{C}B(-e_n,1)\cap B_{\sigma_n}.$$

For (b) part (1) we consider a $C^\infty$ cutoff function $\phi(r)$ such that $\phi(r)=1$ for $0\le r\le\sigma_n/2$ and $\phi(r)=0$ for $r\ge\sigma_n$. For $\phi(|x|)$ we have for some constants that depend only on $n$,

$$0\le\phi\le 1, \qquad |\nabla\phi|\le C_1, \qquad |\Delta\phi|<C_2, \qquad x\in B_1\ .$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx &= \int_\Omega |\nabla(\phi u) + \nabla(1-\phi)u|^2 dx \\
&= \int_\Omega |\nabla(\phi u)|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla((1-\phi)u)|^2 dx + 2\int_\Omega \phi(1-\phi)|\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_\Omega (2\phi - 1)\Delta\phi\, u^2 dx \\
&\geq \int_\Omega |\nabla(\phi u)|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla((1-\phi)u)|^2 dx - c\int_\Omega u^2 dx \\
&\geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B(\sigma_n)} \frac{(\phi u)^2}{|x|^2} dx + C_n \left(\int_{\Omega\cap B(\sigma_n)} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |\phi u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
&\quad + S_n \left(\int_\Omega |(1-\phi)u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} - c\int_\Omega u^2 dx \\
&\geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + C_n \left(\int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |\phi u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
&\quad + S_n \left(\int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |(1-\phi)u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} - c\int_\Omega u^2 dx \\
&\geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{C_n}{2} \left(\int_\Omega X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} - c\int_\Omega u^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $X_1 = X_1(|x|/D)$ and we have used the fact that $X_1(|x|/3) \geq X_1(|x|/D)$ for $x \in \Omega \cap B(\sigma_n)$.

To prove (b) part (2) we apply part (a) for $\rho = D$ (which is allowed since $D \leq \sigma_n \rho_0$) and the result follows immediately from the inclusion $\Omega \subset B_D$.

To prove that the exponent $(2n-2)/(n-2)$ is the best possible, it is enough to establish the following

**Claim.** If $p < (2n-2)/(n-2)$ then there is no $\sigma > 1$ such that the inequality

$$\int_{B_1\cap B_\rho(e_n)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_1\cap B_\rho(e_n)} \frac{u^2}{|x-e_n|^2} dx + C\left(\int_{B_1\cap B_\rho(e_n)} X_1^p |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \tag{38}$$

with $X_1 = X_1(|x-e_n|/\sigma\rho)$ holds true for some small $\rho > 0$ and some $C > 0$ and all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_\rho)$.

Suppose to the contrary that (38) is true for all $u \in C_c^\infty(B_1 \cap B_\rho(e_n))$. We use the conformal map $S$ defined by (30) to pull-back (38) to $S^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_\rho(e_n))$. We write $x = Sv$ and define

$$w(v) = u(S(v))\Big(\frac{2}{|v+e_n|^2}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

Noting that $|x - e_n| = 2|v|/|v+e_n|$ we obtain that there exists $R > 0$ such that the following inequality holds true for all $w \in C_c^\infty(B_R^+)$

$$\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla w|^2 dv \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+} \frac{w^2}{|v|^2|v+e_n|^2} dv + C\left(\int_{B_R^+} X_1^p |w|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+} \frac{|v|^2 + 2v_n}{|v|^2|v+e_n|^2} w^2 dv + \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla w|^2 dv \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+} \frac{w^2}{|v|^2} dv + C\left(\int_{B_R^+} X_1^p |w|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \tag{39}$$

where $X_1 = X_1(2|v|/\sigma\rho|v+e_n|)$. Now, from Lemma 12 we have the inequality

$$\int_{B_R^+} \frac{w^2}{|x|^{3/2}} dx \leq 4R^{1/2}\Big(\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{B_R^+} \frac{w^2}{|x|^2} dx\Big). \tag{40}$$

By taking $R$ small enough we obtain from (39) and (40) that

$$\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla w|^2 dv \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_R^+} \frac{w^2}{|v|^2} dv + C \left( \int_{B_R^+} X_1^p |w|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \qquad w \in C_c^\infty(B_R^+).$$

This violates the optimality of the exponent $2(n-1)/(n-2)$ of Theorem 8, concluding the proof. □

# 5 Characterizing maximal potentials

Throughout this section we assume that $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and satisfying an interior and exterior ball condition at 0. Without loss of generality we may assume that the exterior ball at 0 is $B(-2\rho e_n, 2\rho)$ for some $\rho > 0$. In this section we will give the proof of Theorem 4 and we shall also study the existence of minimizers.

Our starting point is the following improved Hardy inequality contained in Theorem 3,

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \tag{41}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. We shall be interested in the problem of improvements of (41) and whether corresponding best constants are attained.

We recall that a non-negative potential $V$ is said to be admissible if $V \in L^{n/2}_{\rm loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ and there exist $\lambda \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + c \int_\Omega V u^2 dx, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega). \tag{42}$$

For small $r > 0$ we define

$$C_r(V) = \inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)} \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \tag{43}$$

and we recall that $\mathcal{C}^0(V) = \lim_{r \to 0+} C_r(V)$, which may also equal $+\infty$.

This definition gives the impression that $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$ might depend on the choice of $\lambda$. We will now establish that $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$ is independent of $\lambda$. Let us denote at the moment the infimum in (43) by $\mathcal{C}^0(V, \lambda)$ to express the dependence on $\lambda$.

Using part (a) of Theorem 3 we obtain that for small $r > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_n$ that depends only on $n$ so that

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + C_n \Big( \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$$

Using Holder's inequality we conclude the existence of a positive constant $c$ independent of $r$, so that for small $r$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} u^2 dx \,, \qquad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad &\leq \quad \frac{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \leq \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \\ &\leq \quad (1 + \lambda c r^2) \frac{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} V u^2 dx}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C_r(V,0) \le C_r(V,\lambda) \le (1+\lambda c r^2) C_r(V,0)$. Letting $r \to 0$ we conclude that $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$ is independent of the choice of $\lambda \ge 0$.

We next have

**Lemma 17** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential satisfying*

$$\int_\Omega V^{n/2} X_1^{1-n} dx < +\infty, \tag{44}$$

*where $X_1 = X_1(|x|/D)$, $D = \sup_\Omega |x|$. Then $V$ is a subcritical potential.*

***Proof.*** Applying part (a) of Theorem 3 we obtain that for $r > 0$ small enough we have

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \ge \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + C_n \Big( \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)$, where $X_1 = X_1(|x|/D)$. Applying Hölder inequality we then easily obtain that

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \ge \frac{1}{C_n} \Big( \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V^{n/2} X_1^{1-n} dx \Big)^{-\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Letting $r \to 0+$ we conclude that $\mathcal{C}^0(V) = +\infty$. □

We shall also consider the following more general situation. Assume that $V, W_1, W_2$ are non-negative potentials in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and assume that there exist $c > 0$ and a radius $R > 0$ so that

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_R} W_1 u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \ge \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} W_2 u^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} V u^2 dx \tag{45}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_R)$. For $0 < r < R$ we define

$$C_r(W_1, W_2; V) = \inf_{C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)} \frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} W_1 u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} W_2 u^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx}$$

and we denote

$$\mathcal{C}^0(W_1, W_2; V) = \lim_{r\to 0} C_r(W_1, W_2; V).$$

We next show that subcritical potentials do not affect the concentration level $\mathcal{C}^0(V)$. More precisely we have

**Lemma 18** *Let $V, W_1, W_2$ be non-negative potentials in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and assume that for some $R > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that (45) holds true. If in addition $W_1, W_2$ are subcritical then*

$$\mathcal{C}^0(W_1, W_2; V) = \mathcal{C}^0(V).$$

***Proof.*** The subcriticality of $W_i$ implies that for small $r > 0$ there holds

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \ge \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + c_r(W_i) \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} W_i u^2 dx\,, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)\,, \tag{46}$$

with $\lim_{r\to 0} c_r(W_i) = +\infty$. From inequalities (45) and (46) follows that for $r > 0$ small enough we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(1 - \frac{1}{c_r(W_2)}\Big) & \frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \\
&\le \frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} (W_1 - W_2) u^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \\
&\le \Big(1 + \frac{1}{c_r(W_1)}\Big) \frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\Big(1-\frac{1}{c_r(W_2)}\Big)c_r(V)\le C_r(W_1,W_2;V)\le\Big(1+\frac{1}{c_r(W_1)}\Big)c_r(V),$$

and the result follows by letting $r\to 0+$.

□

Given $u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we define the function $w$ by

$$u(x)=\frac{|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2}{|x|^{\frac{n}{2}}|x+2\rho e_n|^{\frac{n}{2}}}w(x). \tag{47}$$

Then $w\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ by our assumption that the exterior ball at zero is $B(-2\rho e_n,2\rho)$. After some computations and using integration by parts we arrive at

$$\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2dx=\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}|\nabla w|^2dx+n^2\rho^2\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^{n+2}|x+2\rho e_n|^{n+2}}w^2dx,$$

so inequality (42) is written

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}w^2dx \quad &+ \quad \int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}|\nabla w|^2dx+n^2\rho^2\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^{n+2}|x+2\rho e_n|^{n+2}}w^2dx\\ &\ge \quad \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^{n+2}|x+2\rho e_n|^n}w^2dx+c\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}Vw^2dx\end{aligned}$$

which can also take the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}w^2dx \quad &+ \quad \int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}|\nabla w|^2dx\\ &\ge\frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2(|x|^2+4\rho x_n)}{|x|^{n+2}|x+2\rho e_n|^{n+2}}w^2dx+c\int_\Omega\frac{\big(|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2\big)^2}{|x|^n|x+2\rho e_n|^n}Vw^2dx.\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

It is clear from the above that (48) is valid for all functions $w\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and moreover, for a fixed $\lambda\ge 0$ the best constants $c$ for inequalities (42) and (48) coincide. That common best constant shall be denoted by $b=b(\lambda)$.

Denoting

$$\phi(x)=\frac{|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2}{|x|^{\frac{n}{2}}|x+2\rho e_n|^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

it then follows that (cf. (43))

$$C_r(V)=\inf_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega\cap B_r;\phi^2)}\frac{\lambda\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2w^2dx+\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2dx-\frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{|x|^2+4\rho x_n}{|x|^2|x+2\rho e_n|^2}\phi^2w^2dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}V\phi^2w^2dx}$$

where $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega\cap B_r;\phi^2)$ denotes the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)$ under the norm

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2dx+\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2w^2dx.$$

We shall now see a simpler way for expressing $\mathcal{C}^0(V)=\lim_{r\to0+}C_r(V)$ in terms of the weight $\phi^2$. For this we define

$$C_r(V;\phi^2)=\inf_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega\cap B_r;\phi^2)}\frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}V\phi^2w^2dx}.$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^0(V;\phi^2)=\lim_{r\to0+}C_r(V;\phi^2).$$

**Lemma 19** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}^0(V) = \mathcal{C}^0(V;\phi^2).$$

***Proof.*** For the sake of simplicity we assume that $\rho = 1$; the general case then follows by scaling. On the one hand we have for small $r > 0$ that

$$cr^{-2}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 w^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{|x|^2+4x_n}{|x|^2|x+2e_n|^2}\phi^2 w^2 dx\,, \qquad u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)$$

for some universal constant $c > 0$, which implies the inequality

$$\frac{\lambda\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 w^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{|x|^2+4x_n}{|x|^2|x+2e_n|^2}\phi^2 w^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 V w^2 dx} \le \Big(1+\frac{\lambda}{c}r^2\Big)\frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 V w^2 dx}. \quad (49)$$

On the other hand, since

$$0 \le \frac{|x|^2+4x_n}{|x|^2|x+2e_n|^2} \le \frac{c}{|x|},$$

we have the inequality

$$cr^{-1}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{|x|^2+4x_n}{|x|^2|x+2e_n|^2}\phi^2 w^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2 dx\,, \qquad w\in C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)$$

which in turn implies

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 V w^2 dx}(1-cr) \le \frac{\lambda\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 w^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2|\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\frac{|x|^2+4x_n}{|x|^2|x+2e_n|^2}\phi^2 w^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r}\phi^2 V w^2 dx} \quad (50)$$

The result follows by combining inequalities (49) and (50) and letting $r \to 0+$. □

One important consequence of subcriticality is the following compactness property.

**Lemma 20** *Assume that the positive potential $V \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is subcritical. Then for any sequence $(w_k)$ which is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2)$ there exists a subsequence, also denoted by $(w_k)$, and a function $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2)$ so that*

$$\text{(i)} \qquad w_k \rightharpoonup w_0 \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2)$$
$$\text{(ii)} \qquad \int_\Omega \phi^2 V(w_k - w_0)^2 dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

***Proof.*** Part (i) is standard. To prove (ii) we may assume without loss of generality that $w_0 = 0$. We consider a small $r > 0$ and a smooth cut-off function $\psi$ such that $\psi = 1$ in $B_{r/2}$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $B_r$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \phi^2|\nabla w_k|^2 dx &= \int_\Omega \phi^2|\nabla(\psi w_k) + \nabla((1-\psi)w_k)|^2 dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \phi^2|\nabla(\psi w_k)|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi^2|\nabla\big((1-\psi)w_k\big)|^2 dx + 2\int_\Omega \phi^2\psi(1-\psi)|\nabla w_k|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_\Omega \phi^2(1-2\psi)w_k\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla w_k dx - \int_\Omega \phi^2|\nabla\psi|^2 w_k^2 dx \\
&\ge \int_\Omega \phi^2|\nabla(\psi w_k)|^2 dx + o(1) \\
&\ge C_r(V;\phi^2)\int_\Omega \phi^2 V\psi^2 w_k^2 dx + o(1) \\
&= C_r(V;\phi^2)\int_\Omega \phi^2 V w_k^2 dx + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\int_\Omega V\phi^2 w_k^2 dx \le \frac{1}{C_r(V;\phi^2)} \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla w_k|^2 dx + o(1)\ . \tag{51}$$

The result follows by noting that the RHS of (51) can be made arbitrarily small by choosing $r > 0$ small enough. □

We can now state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 21** *Let $V \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \ge 0$ be given and let $b(\lambda)$ be the best constant for the inequality*

$$\lambda \int_\Omega \phi^2 w^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \ge \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{|x|^2 + 4\rho x_n}{|x|^2 |x + 2\rho e_n|^2} \phi^2 w^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega V\phi^2 w^2 dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2). \tag{52}$$

*If in addition*

$$b(\lambda) < \mathcal{C}^0(V)$$

*then the best constant $b(\lambda)$ in (52) is realized by a function $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2)$. In particular the best constant $b(\lambda)$ is realized if the potential $V$ is subcritical.*

***Proof.*** We denote

$$Q(x) = \frac{n^2}{4} \frac{|x|^2 + 4\rho x_n}{|x|^2 |x + 2\rho e_n|^2}$$

Then it is easily seen that

$$0 \le Q \le \frac{c}{|x|}, \qquad x \in \Omega,$$

which implies that $Q$ is a subcritical potential by Lemma 17. We consider a minimizing sequence $(w_k)$ for (52) and without loss of generality we assume that

$$\int_\Omega Q\phi^2 w_k^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega V\phi^2 w_k^2 dx = 1, \qquad \lambda \int_\Omega \phi^2 w_k^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla w_k|^2 dx \longrightarrow 1, \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{53}$$

Since $(w_k)$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2)$, it has a subsequence, which we assume is $(w_k)$ itself, which converges weakly to some $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2)$. We define $v_k = w_k - w_0$.

We consider a small enough $r > 0$ so that $C_r(V;\phi^2) > b(\lambda)$ and a smooth cut-off function $\psi$ such that $\psi = 1$ in $B_{r/2}$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $B_r$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 20 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla v_k|^2 dx &= \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla[\psi v_k + (1-\psi)v_k]|^2 dx \\
&\ge \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla(\psi v_k)|^2 dx + o(1) \\
&\ge C_r(V;\phi^2) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V \psi^2 v_k^2 dx + o(1) \\
&= C_r(V;\phi^2) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V v_k^2 dx + o(1)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Now, substituting $w_k = v_k + w_0$ in the normalization relations (53) and using Lemma 20 we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \phi^2 Q w_0^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V w_0^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V v_k^2 dx = 1 + o(1) \tag{55}$$

and

$$\lambda \int_\Omega \phi^2 w_0^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla w_0|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla v_k|^2 dx = 1 + o(1). \tag{56}$$

From (54) and (55) we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla v_k|^2 dx \ge \frac{C_r(V;\phi^2)}{b(\lambda)} \Big(1 - \int_\Omega \phi^2 Q w_0^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega V\phi^2 w_0^2 dx\Big) + o(1). \tag{57}$$

Moreover using (52) for $w = w_0$ we obtain from (56) that

$$\int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla v_k|^2 dx \le 1 - \int_\Omega \phi^2 Q w_0^2 dx - b(\lambda) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V w_0^2 dx + o(1). \tag{58}$$

From (57) and (58) we conclude that

$$\Big(1 - \frac{C_r(V;\phi^2)}{b(\lambda)}\Big)\Big(1 - \int_\Omega \phi^2 Q w_0^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega V \phi^2 w_0^2 dx\Big) \ge 0.$$

Since $C_r(V;\phi^2) > b(\lambda)$, this implies that

$$\int_\Omega \phi^2 Q w_0^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V w_0^2 dx \ge 1.$$

But by lower semicontinuity,

$$\int_\Omega \phi^2 Q w_0^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V w_0^2 dx \le 1.$$

Hence $w_0$ is a minimizer.

□

Theorem 4 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 21

***Proof of Theorem 4.*** $(a)$ Suppose that $n^2/4|x|^2 + b(\lambda)V(x)$ is not a maximal potential, that is there exists a non-trivial potential $W \ge 0$ in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \ge \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega V u^2 dx + \int_\Omega W u^2 dx$$

holds true for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Using the transformation (47) this is equivalently written as

$$\lambda \int_\Omega \phi^2 w^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \ge \int_\Omega Q \phi^2 w^2 dx + b(\lambda) \int_\Omega \phi^2 V w^2 dx + \int_\Omega W \phi^2 w^2 dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi^2).$$

Using $w = w_0$ where $w_0$ is the minimizer from Theorem 21 we conclude that $\int_\Omega W\phi^2 w_0^2 dx \le 0$, which is a contradiction.
Part $(b)$ is an immediate consequence of part $(a)$ since any subcritical potential $V$ is in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\mathcal{C}^0(V) = +\infty$.

□

# 6 Logarithmic improvements and maximal potentials

In this section we will provide the proofs of Theorems 5, 6 and 7. We continue to assume that $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and satisfying an interior and exterior ball condition at 0. Without loss of generality we assume that the exterior ball at 0 is $B(-2\rho e_n, 2\rho)$ for some $\rho > 0$.

## 6.1 Logarithmic improvements

To prove Theorems 5 and 6 we first establish the following lemmas:

**Lemma 22** *Let $n \ge 3$. There exist positive constants $\sigma_n$ and $C_n$, both depending only on $n$, such that for all $\rho > 0$ and all $r \le \sigma_n \rho$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad &\ge \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} \frac{u^2}{|x-\rho e_n|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} \frac{u^2}{|x-\rho e_n|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \\ &\quad + C_n \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n, r)} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}},\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

*for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{C}B(\rho) \cap B(\rho e_n, r))$; here $X_i = X_i(|x - \rho e_n|/(3\kappa r))$.*

***Proof.*** The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 16, but we now use Lemma 14 instead of Lemma 13. Again, it is enough to consider the case $\rho = 1$.

We fix $r < 1$ and apply Lemma 14. Changing variables via $T$ (cf. (32)) we obtain

$$\int_{T(B_r^+)} |\nabla F|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{T(B_r^+)} \frac{4F^2}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{T(B_r^+)} \frac{4F^2\, X_1^2 \dots X_i^2}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} dx$$
$$+ \frac{1}{8r^{1/2}} \int_{T(B_r^+)} \frac{4F^2}{|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} dx + C_n \left( \int_{T(B_r^+)} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |F|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \tag{60}$$

for all $F \in C_c^\infty(T(B_r^+))$; here $X_k = X_k(|x-e_n|/(\kappa r|x+e_n|)$. As already noted (cf. (36)) we have

$$B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r) \subset T(B_r^+).$$

so integrals in (60) can be taken over $B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r)$.

Once again we will choose $\sigma_n < 1$ such that for all $r \leq \sigma_n$ and all $x \in B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r)$ there holds

$$\frac{n^2}{4} \frac{4}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{4}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2$$
$$+ \frac{4}{8r^{1/2}|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} \geq \frac{n^2}{4|x-e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{4|x-e_n|^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2.$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{2r^{1/2}|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} \geq \frac{1}{4|x-e_n|^2} \Big(1 - \frac{4}{|x+e_n|^2}\Big) \Big(n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2\Big).$$

We shall actually prove the stronger inequality

$$\frac{1}{2r^{1/2}|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} \geq \frac{1}{4|x-e_n|^2} \Big(1 - \frac{4}{|x+e_n|^2}\Big) \Big(n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2\Big). \tag{61}$$

Indeed, this is immediate for $|x+e_n| \leq 2$, so we concentrate in the case where $|x+e_n| \geq 2$. Since $\sum_1^\infty X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 \leq 1/4$ (cf. (23)) inequality (61) will follow once we establish that

$$2|x-e_n|^{1/2} \geq (n^2 + \frac{1}{4}) r^{1/2} |x+e_n|^{1/2} (|x+e_n|^2 - 4).$$

Setting $t = |x-e_n|$ we then have $|x+e_n| \leq t+2$ and therefore it is enough to establish the following inequality

$$\Big(\frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{1}{8}\Big) r^{1/2} t^{1/2} (t+4)(t+2)^{1/2} \leq 1,$$

for all $t \leq r$. Simple computations give that the last inequality holds true provided

$$t \leq \frac{4}{75(n^2 + \frac{1}{4})^2 r},$$

This will be true for all $t \leq r \leq \sigma_n$ provided $\sigma_n$ is chosen as

$$\sigma_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{75}(n^2 + \frac{1}{4})^2}.$$

Inequality (59) follows by observing that $|x+e_n| \leq 3$, which gives the required logarithmic factors $X_k(|x - e_n/(3\kappa r))$. □

**Lemma 23** *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a positive constant $\sigma_n$ such that for all $r \leq \sigma_n \rho$ there holds*

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n,r)} |\nabla F|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n,r)} \frac{F^2}{|x-\rho e_n|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{C}B(\rho)\cap B(\rho e_n,r)} \frac{F^2}{|x-\rho e_n|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx,$$

*for all $F \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{C}B(\rho) \cap B(\rho e_n, r))$; here $X_k = X_k(|x-\rho e_n|/(3\kappa r))$.*

***Proof.*** Our approach is similar to that of the last two lemmas. Again, it is enough to consider the case $\rho = 1$. Using Lemma 12 and working as before we obtain that for any $r < 1$ the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_1^c\cap B(e_n,r)} |\nabla F|^2 dx &\geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_{B_1^c\cap B(e_n,r)} \frac{4F^2}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} dx \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_1^c\cap B(e_n,r)} \frac{4F^2\, X_1^2 \dots X_i^2}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} dx + \frac{1}{8r^{1/2}} \int_{B_1^c\cap B(e_n,r)} \frac{4F^2}{|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} dx\end{aligned}$$

is valid for all $F \in C_c^\infty(B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r))$; here $X_k = X_k(|x-e_n|/\kappa r|x+e_n|)$. As before, we have

$$B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r) \subset T(B_r^+),$$

We want to find a $\sigma_n < 1$ such that for all $r \leq \sigma_n$ and for all $x \in B_1^c \cap B(e_n, r)$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{n^2}{4}\frac{4}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{|x-e_n|^2|x+e_n|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 + \frac{4}{8r^{1/2}|x-e_n|^{3/2}|x+e_n|^{5/2}} \\ \geq\ & \frac{n^2}{4|x-e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{4|x-e_n|^2}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

But this is equivalent to (61); the proof is completed as in Lemma 22. □

***Proof of Theorem 6*** Part (a) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 22. The proof of part (b) is similar to the corresponding proof of Theorem 3. This time however someone also uses the global estimate $\sum_i X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 \leq 1/4$ in order to estimate uniformly the constant in front of the $L^2$ term.

To prove the sharpness of the constants $1/4$ and the exponent $(2n-2)/(n-2)$ we argue as in the proof of Theorem 3; we omit the details. □

***Proof of Theorem 5*** We argue as above using this time Lemma 23. The sharpness of the constants $1/4$ has already been proved. □

## 6.2 Maximal logarithmic potentials

Our starting point in this subsection is the improved Hardy inequality contained in Theorem 6,

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \tag{62}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$; here $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$ where $\tilde{D} \geq D := \sup_\Omega |x|$. We shall be interested in the problem of improvements of (62) and whether the corresponding best constants are attained.

The analysis that will follow is analogous to that of Section 5; for this reason we shall avoid the details in cases where the arguments are quite similar.

We recall that a non-negative potential $V$ is $m$–admissible if $V \in L^{n/2}_{\rm loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ and there exist $\lambda \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx + c\int_\Omega V u^2 dx, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega). \tag{63}$$

For $V \in \mathscr{A}_m$ and small $r > 0$ we define

$$C_{m,r}(V) = \inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)} \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx}$$

where $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$ and $\tilde{D} \geq D$. We also define

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(V) = \lim_{r\to 0+} C_{m,r}(V),$$

which may also equal $+\infty$. Arguing as in Section 5 we can see that $\mathcal{C}_m^0(V)$ is independent of the specific choice of $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\tilde{D} \geq D$.

We next have

**Lemma 24** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential satisfying*

$$\int_\Omega V^{n/2} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{1-n} dx < +\infty,$$

*where $X_i = X_i(|x|/D)$, $i = 1, \dots, m+1$, and $D = \sup_\Omega |x|$. Then $V$ is an $m$-subcritical potential.*

***Proof.*** The proof is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 17 and makes use of Theorem 6 to establish the inequality

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_k^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx} \geq \frac{1}{C_n}\Big(\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V^{n/2} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{1-n} dx\Big)^{-\frac{2}{n}}.$$

The result then follows. □

As in Section 5 we shall also consider the following more general situation. We consider non-negative potentials $V, W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ and assume that there exist $c > 0$ and a radius $R > 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega\cap B_R} W_1 u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad &\geq \quad \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_R} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} W_2 u^2 dx + c\int_{\Omega\cap B_R} V u^2 dx \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_R)$. For $0 < r \leq R$ we define

$$C_{m,r}(W_1, W_2; V) = \inf_{C_c^\infty(\Omega\cap B_r)} \frac{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} W_1 u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{n^2}{4}\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}dx - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx - \int_{\Omega\cap B_r} W_2 u^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega\cap B_r} V u^2 dx}$$

and we denote

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(W_1, W_2; V) = \lim_{r\to 0+} C_{m,r}(W_1, W_2; V).$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 18 and is omitted.

**Lemma 25** *Let $V, W_1, W_2$ be non-negative potentials in $\mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ and assume that there exist $R > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that (64) holds true. If in addition $W_1, W_2$ are subcritical then*

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(W_1, W_2; V) = \mathcal{C}_m^0(V).$$

Let $\tilde{D} \geq D$ be fixed. Given $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we define the function $w$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2}{|x|^{\frac{n}{2}}|x+2\rho e_n|^{\frac{n}{2}}} X_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dots X_m^{-\frac{1}{2}} w(x) \\ &=: \phi_m(x) w(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $w \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ by our assumption that $B(-2\rho e_n, 2\rho)$ is an exterior ball. After some computations we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx &= \int_\Omega \phi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx + n^2\rho^2 \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_m^2 w^2}{|x|^2|x+2\rho e_n|^2} dx \\ &+ \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_m^2 w^2}{|x|^2} \Big\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^m X_1^2 \dots X_k^2 - \frac{n}{2} \frac{|x|^2+2\rho x_n}{|x+2\rho e_n|^2} \sum_{k=1}^m X_1 \dots X_k + \frac{|x|^2}{|x|^2+2\rho x_n} \sum_{k=1}^m X_1 \dots X_k \Big\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

so inequality (63) is written

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \int_\Omega \phi_m^2 w^2 dx + \int_\Omega \phi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_m^2 w^2}{|x|^2} \Big\{ -\frac{n}{2} \frac{|x|^2+2\rho x_n}{|x+2\rho e_n|^2} + \frac{|x|^2}{|x|^2+2\rho x_n} \Big\} \Big( \sum_{k=1}^m X_1 \dots X_k \Big) dx \\ \geq\ & \frac{n^2}{4} \int_\Omega \frac{|x|^2+4\rho x_n}{|x|^2|x+2\rho e_n|^2} \phi_m^2 w^2 dx + c \int_\Omega V \phi_m^2 w^2 dx, \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

It is clear from the above that (65) is valid for all functions $w \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and moreover, for a fixed $\lambda \geq 0$ the best constants $c$ for inequalities (63) and (65) coincide. That common best constant shall be denoted by $b_m = b_m(\lambda)$.

Defining

$$Q_m(x) = \frac{n^2}{4} \frac{|x|^2+4\rho x_n}{|x|^2|x+2\rho e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{|x|^2} \Big\{ \frac{n}{2} \frac{|x|^2+2\rho x_n}{|x+2\rho e_n|^2} - \frac{|x|^2}{|x|^2+2\rho x_n} \Big\} \Big( \sum_{k=1}^m X_1 \dots X_k \Big)$$

it then follows that

$$C_{m,r}(V) = \inf_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B_r; \phi_m^2)} \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \phi_m^2 w^2 dx + \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \phi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} Q_m \phi_m^2 w^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \phi_m^2 V w^2 dx}$$

where $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B_r; \phi_m^2)$ denotes the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap B_r)$ under the norm

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \phi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \phi_m^2 w^2 dx.$$

Similarly to Section 5 we shall use a simpler way for expressing $\mathcal{C}_m^0(V) = \lim_{r \to 0+} C_{m,r}(V)$. For this we define

$$C_{m,r}(V; \phi_m^2) = \inf_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B_r; \phi_m^2)} \frac{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} \phi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega \cap B_r} V \phi_m^2 w^2 dx}.$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(V; \phi_m^2) = \lim_{r \to 0+} C_{m,r}(V; \phi_m^2).$$

**Lemma 26** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential in $\mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(V) = \mathcal{C}_m^0(V; \phi_m^2).$$

***Proof.*** The proof is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 19. In particular we make use of the fact that

$$\int_\Omega \Big( \frac{X_1}{|x|^2+2x_n} \Big)^{n/2} (X_1 \dots X_{m+1})^{1-n} < +\infty,$$

from which it easily follows that $|Q_m|$ is an $m$-subcritical potential. We omit further details. □

One important consequence of $m$-subcriticality is the following compactness property whose proof is similar to that of Lemma 20 and is therefore omitted.

**Lemma 27** *Assume that the positive potential $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ is m-subcritical. Then for any sequence $(w_k)$ which is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2)$ there exists a subsequence, also denoted by $(w_k)$, and a function $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2)$ so that*

$$\text{(i)} \qquad w_k \rightharpoonup w_0 \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2)$$
$$\text{(ii)} \qquad \int_\Omega V\phi_m^2(w_k-w_0)^2dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

We can now state and prove the main theorems of this section.

**Theorem 28** *Let $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ be given and let $b_m(\lambda)$ be the best constant for the inequality*

$$\lambda\int_\Omega \phi_m^2 w^2dx + \int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla w|^2dx \geq \int_\Omega Q_m\phi_m^2w^2dx + c\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2w^2dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2), \tag{66}$$

*where*

$$\phi_m(x) = \frac{|x+\rho e_n|^2-\rho^2}{|x|^{\frac{n}{2}}|x+2\rho e_n|^{\frac{n}{2}}}X_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\dots X_m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

*and*

$$Q_m(x) = \frac{n^2}{4}\frac{|x|^2+4\rho x_n}{|x|^2|x+2\rho e_n|^2} + \frac{1}{|x|^2}\Big\{\frac{n}{2}\frac{|x|^2+2\rho x_n}{|x+2\rho e_n|^2} - \frac{|x|^2}{|x|^2+2\rho x_n}\Big\}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^m X_1\dots X_k\Big),$$

*with $X_i = X_i(|x|/\tilde{D})$, $\tilde{D} \geq D := \sup_\Omega |x|$. If in addition*

$$b_m(\lambda) < \mathcal{C}_m^0$$

*then the best constant $b_m(\lambda)$ in (66) is realized by a function $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2)$. In particular the best constant $b_m(\lambda)$ is realized if $V$ is an m-subcritical potential.*

***Proof.*** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 21 so we shall only give a sketch of the proof. What is important for our argument is that the potential $Q_m$ is a subcritical potential. We consider a minimizing sequence $(w_k)$ for (66) and without loss of generality we assume that

$$\int_\Omega Q_m\phi_m^2w_k^2dx + b_m(\lambda)\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2w_k^2dx = 1, \qquad \lambda\int_\Omega \phi_m^2w_k^2dx + \int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla w_k|^2dx \longrightarrow 1, \text{ as } k\to\infty.$$

Since $(w_k)$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2)$, it has a subsequence, which we assume is $(w_k)$ itself, which converges weakly to some $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\phi_m^2)$. We define $v_k = w_k - w_0$.

We consider a small enough $r > 0$ so that $C_{m,r}(V;\phi_m^2) > b_m(\lambda)$ and a smooth cut-off function $\psi$ such that $\psi = 1$ in $B_{r/2}$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $B_r$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 21 we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla v_k|^2dx \geq C_{m,r}(V;\phi_m^2)\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2v_k^2dx + o(1) \tag{67}$$

and also

$$\int_\Omega Q_m\phi_m^2w_0^2dx + b_m(\lambda)\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2w_0^2dx + b_m(\lambda)\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2v_k^2dx = 1 + o(1) \tag{68}$$

and

$$\lambda\int_\Omega \phi_m^2w_0^2dx + \int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla w_0|^2dx + \int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla v_k|^2dx = 1 + o(1). \tag{69}$$

From (67) and (68) we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla v_k|^2dx \geq \frac{C_{m,r}(V;\phi_m^2)}{b_m(\lambda)}\Big(1 - \int_\Omega Q_m\phi_m^2w_0^2dx + b_m(\lambda)\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2w_0^2dx\Big) + o(1).$$

Writing (66) for $w = w_0$ we obtain from (69) that

$$\int_\Omega \phi_m^2|\nabla v_k|^2dx \leq 1 - \int_\Omega Q_m\phi_m^2w_0^2dx - b_m(\lambda)\int_\Omega V\phi_m^2w_0^2dx + o(1)$$

and arguing as before we conclude that

$$\int_\Omega Q_m \phi_m^2 w_0^2 dx + b_m(\lambda) \int_\Omega V \phi_m^2 V w_0^2 dx = 1,$$

that is $w_0$ is a minimizer for (66). □

We finally note that Theorem 7 is a direct consequence of Theorem 28.

# 7 Further results

## 7.1 Maximal potentials in finite cones

In the two previous sections we characterized maximal potentials in bounded domains satisfying the exterior ball condition. Analogous results also hold true in the case of finite cones.

Let $\mathscr{C}_1$ be the cone determined by the domain $\Sigma \subset S^{n-1}$ as defined in Section 2; more generally we set $\mathscr{C}_r := \mathscr{C} \cap B_r$.

We recall the sharp Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx, \qquad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1), \tag{70}$$

where $\mu_1(\Sigma)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Sigma$.

In this subsection we shall initially be interested in the question of characterizing maximal potentials for improved versions of inequality (70).

**Definition 5** *A non-negative potential $V \in L^{n/2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\mathscr{C}_1} \setminus \{0\})$ is called* admissible *if there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + c \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V u^2 dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\mathscr{C}_1). \tag{71}$$

*We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathscr{C}_1)$ the class of all admissible potentials.*

Once again there is a big variety of admissible potentials. For example if $V$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V^{n/2} X_1^{1-n} dx < +\infty,$$

where $X_1 = X_1(|x|)$, then $V$ is admissible by Theorem 8.

Given $V \in \mathcal{A}(\mathscr{C}_1)$ and $r \in (0,1)$ we define

$$C_r(V) = \inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left( \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\int_{\mathscr{C}_r} V u^2 dx}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^0(V) = \lim_{r \to 0+} C_r(V).$$

**Definition 6** *We say that the potential $V \in \mathcal{A}(\mathscr{C}_1)$ is subcritical if $\mathcal{C}^0(V) = +\infty$.*

The analogue of Lemma 17 is the following

**Lemma 29** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V^{n/2} X_1^{1-n} dx < +\infty,$$

*where $X_1 = X_1(|x|)$. Then $V$ is a subcritical potential.*

The proof of this Lemma is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 17.

Given $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$ we define the function $w$ by

$$u(x) = |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \phi_1(\omega) w(x) =: \psi(x) w(x), \tag{72}$$

where $\omega := \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Sigma$ and $\phi_1(\omega)$, is the first eigenfunction of the Dirichlet Laplacian in $\Sigma$. After some computations we obtain

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx + \left( \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi^2 w^2 dx.$$

As usual we denote by $W_0^{1,2}(\mathscr{C}_1; \psi^2)$ the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_1)$ under the norm

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi^2 w^2 dx.$$

It is easily seen that inequality (71) under the change of variables (72) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \geq c \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V \psi^2 w^2 dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathscr{C}_1; \psi^2).$$

The analogues of Theorems 21 and 4 read as follows:

**Theorem 30** *Let $V \in \mathcal{A}(\mathscr{C}_1)$ and suppose that $b$ is the best constant for the inequality*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \geq b \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V \psi^2 w^2 dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathscr{C}_1; \psi^2). \tag{73}$$

*If in addition $b < \mathcal{C}^0(V)$ then the best constant $b$ in (73) is realized by a function $w_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathscr{C}_1; \psi^2)$. In particular the best constant $b$ is realized if the potential $V$ is subcritical.*

**Theorem 31** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential in $\mathcal{A}(\mathscr{C}_1)$.*
*(a) Let $b > 0$ be the best constant in the following inequality*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + b \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V u^2 dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\mathscr{C}_1) \ . \tag{74}$$

*If in addition $b < \mathcal{C}^0(V)$ then the potential $\left[ \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right] |x|^{-2} + bV(x)$ is a maximal potential, that is inequality (74) cannot be improved by adding a non-negative potential $W$ in the RHS.*
*(b) If $V$ is a subcritical potential then there exists a best constant $b > 0$ such that (74) is true. Moreover the potential $\left[ \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right] |x|^{-2} + bV(x)$ is a maximal potential.*

The proofs of these theorems are quite similar and slightly simpler to the proofs of of Theorems 21 and 4.

In analogy to the results of Section 6 we have similar theorems for the improved Hardy inequality involving logarithmic corrections. In particular we have

**Definition 7** *A non-negative potential $V \in L^{n/2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\mathscr{C}_1} \setminus \{0\})$ is called* m–admissible *if there exists $c > 0$ such that for $u \in H_0^1(\mathscr{C}_1)$, there holds*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx + c \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V u^2 dx \ . \tag{75}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_m(\mathscr{C}_1)$ the class of all $m$–admissible potentials.
Given $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\mathscr{C}_1)$ and $r \in (0,1)$ we define

$$C_{m,r}(V) = \inf_{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathscr{C}_r)} \frac{\int_{\mathscr{C}_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \left( \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) \int_{\mathscr{C}_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathscr{C}_r} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx}{\int_{\mathscr{C}_r} V u^2 dx}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_m^0(V) = \lim_{r \to 0+} C_{m,r}(V).$$

**Definition 8** *We say that the potential $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\mathscr{C}_1)$ is $m$-subcritical if $\mathcal{C}_m^0(V) = +\infty$.*

Changing variables by

$$u(x) = |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \phi_1(\omega) X_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dots X_m^{-\frac{1}{2}} w(x) =: \psi_m(x) w(x) \ ,$$

inequality (75) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \geq c \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V \psi_m^2 w^2 dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathscr{C}_1; \psi_m^2).$$

Now the analogues of Theorems 28 and 7 are as follows

**Theorem 32** *Let $V \in \mathcal{A}_m(\mathscr{C}_1)$ and let $b_m$ be the best constant for the inequality*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \psi_m^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \geq b_m \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V \psi_m^2 w^2 dx, \qquad w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \psi_m^2), \tag{76}$$

*If in addition $b_m < \mathcal{C}_m^0(V)$ then the best constant $b_m$ in (76) is realized in $W_0^{1,2}(\mathscr{C}_1; \psi_m^2)$. In particular the best constant $b_m$ is realized if the potential $V$ is $m$–subcritical.*

**Theorem 33** *Let $V$ be a non-negative potential in $\mathcal{A}_m(\mathscr{C}_1)$.*
*(a) Let $b_m > 0$ be the best constant in the following inequality*

$$\int_{\mathscr{C}_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} X_1^2 \dots X_i^2 dx \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx + b_m \int_{\mathscr{C}_1} V u^2 dx, \tag{77}$$

*where $u \in H_0^1(\mathscr{C}_1)$.*
*If in addition $b_m < \mathcal{C}_m^0(V)$ then the potential $\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m X_1^2 \dots X_i^2\right]|x|^{-2} + b_m V(x)$ is a maximal potential, that is inequality (77) cannot be improved by adding a non-negative potential $W$ in the RHS.*
*(b) If $V$ is a subcritical potential then there exists a best constant $b_m > 0$ such that (77) is true. Moreover the potential $\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \mu_1(\Sigma) + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^m X_1^2 \dots X_i^2\right]|x|^{-2} + b_m V(x)$ is a maximal potential.*

## 7.2 Hardy-Sobolev inequalities involving multiple boundary points

Here we consider the case of a bounded domain where distance is taken from multiple points on the boundary.

**Theorem 34** *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, is a bounded domain that satisfies an exterior ball condition at each of the points $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \partial\Omega$. Then there exist a positive constant $c = c(n,m)$ depending only on $n$ and $m$ and a positive constant $\lambda$ such that*

$$\lambda \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^m \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x - a_k|^2} dx + c\Big( \int_\Omega |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} W dx \Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \ , \qquad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \ ,$$

*where*

$$W = W(x) := \prod_{k=1}^{m} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{D}), \qquad \text{and} \qquad D := \max_{k=1,\dots,m} \sup_{x\in\Omega} |x-a_k| \ .$$

***Proof.*** Let $\rho$ be the smallest radius of the exterior balls at the points $a_1, \dots, a_m$ and set

$$\delta = \min\{\frac{1}{8}\min_{i\neq j}|a_i - a_j|,\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma_n\rho,\ \frac{1}{6}D\}$$

For each $k = 1, \dots, m$ let $\phi_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be a cut-off function such that

$$0 \leq \phi_k \leq 1, \qquad \phi_k(x) = 1 \text{ if } |x-a_k| \leq \delta, \qquad \phi_k(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x-a_k| \geq 2\delta.$$

We also define the smooth non-negative function $\phi_0$ by requiring that

$$\phi_0^2 + \phi_1^2 + \ldots + \phi_m^2 = 1, \qquad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{78}$$

Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be given. By Theorem 3 there exists a $C_n > 0$ such that for each $k = 1, \dots m$ there holds

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla(\phi_k u)|^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{\phi_k^2 u^2}{|x-a_k|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_k u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{6\delta})dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \ ,$$

or equivalently

$$\int_\Omega \phi_k^2|\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega \phi_k\Delta\phi_k\ u^2 dx \geq \frac{n^2}{4}\int_\Omega \frac{\phi_k^2 u^2}{|x-a_k|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_k u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{6\delta})dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \ . \tag{79}$$

By the standard Sobolev inequality we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla(\phi_0 u)|^2 dx \geq S_n\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_0 u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}. \tag{80}$$

Adding relations (79) and (80) and using (78) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \sum_{k=0}^m \int_\Omega \phi_k\Delta\phi_k u^2 dx \quad &\geq \quad \frac{n^2}{4}\sum_{k=1}^m \int_\Omega \frac{\phi_k^2 u^2}{|x-a_k|^2}dx + S_n\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_0 u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\ &\quad + C_n\sum_{k=1}^m\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_k u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{6\delta})dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \ ,\end{aligned}$$

from which we get the existence of a positive constant $\lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad &\geq \quad \frac{n^2}{4}\sum_{k=1}^m \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x-a_k|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_0 u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\ &\quad + C_n\sum_{k=1}^m\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_k u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{D})dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\ &\geq \quad \frac{n^2}{4}\sum_{k=1}^m \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x-a_k|^2}dx + C_n\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_0 u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} W dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\ &\quad + C_n\sum_{k=1}^m\Big(\int_\Omega |\phi_k u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} W dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \ ,\end{aligned}$$

since for each $k = 1, \dots m$ we have

$$W = W(x) = \prod_{k=1}^m X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{D}) \leq X_1^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\frac{|x-a_k|}{D}), \quad x \in \Omega \ .$$

Using standard homogeneity inequalities we conclude the result; we omit further details. □

One can similarly obtain a result with multiple logarithmic corrections, but we will not pursue it.

**Acknowledgment** AT acknowledges partial support by ELKE grant, University of Crete.

# References


[1] Adimurthi, N. Chaudhuri, N. Ramaswamy, An improved Hardy-Sobolev inequality and its applications, *Proc. A.M.S.*, 130, 489–505, (2002)

[2] Adimurthi, Filippas S., Tertikas A.: On the best constant of Hardy–Sobolev inequalities. *Nonlinear Anal.* , 70(8), 2826–2833 (2009)

[3] Barbatis G., Filippas S. and Tertikas A., A unified approach to improved $L^p$ Hardy inequalities with best constants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(6), 2169–2196 (2004)

[4] Barbatis G., Filippas S. and Tertikas A. Series expansion for $L^p$ Hardy inequalities, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 52(1), 171–190, (2003)

[5] Brezis H. Lieb E. H. Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 62, 73–86, (1985)

[6] Brezis H. and Nirenberg L., Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36, (1983), 437–477.

[7] Brezis H. and Vázquez J. L. Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 10(2), 443-469, (1997)

[8] Cazacu C. On Hardy inequalities with singularities on the boundary. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris Ser. I*, 349, 273–277, (2011)

[9] Cazacu C. Hardy inequalities and Pohozaev identity for operators with boundary singularities: Some applications, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris Ser. I*, 349, 1167–1172, (2011)

[10] Cazacu C. Schrödinger operators with boundary singularities: Hardy inequality, Pohozaev identity and controllability results, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263, 3741–3783, (2012)

[11] Cazacu C. Controllability of the Heat Equation with an Inverse Square Potential Localized on the Boundary, *SIAM J. on Control and Optimization*, 52(4), 2055–2089, (2014)

[12] Chern J.L., Lin, C.S.: Minimizers of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities with the singularity on the boundary.*Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 197(2), 401–432 (2010)

[13] Fall M. M., On the Hardy Poincaré inequality with boundary singularities, *Comm. Contemp. Mathematics*, 14(3), 1250019, (2012)

[14] Fall M. M., Musina R., Hardy Poincaré inequality with boundary singularities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 142A, 769–786, (2012)

[15] Filippas S., Moschini L. and Tertikas A., *Sharp two-sided heat kernel estimates for critical Schrödinger operators on bounded domains*, Comm. Math. Phys., 273 (2007), pp. 237–281.

[16] Filippas S. and Tertikas A. Optimizing improved Hardy inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 192, 186–233 (2002). *Corrigendum:* J. Funct. Anal., 255 (2008), p. 2095.

[17] Filippas S., Tertikas A. and Tidblom J., On the structure of Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities *J. of the European Math. Soc.*, 11(6), 1165–1185, (2009)

[18] Ghoussoub N., Kang X.S. *Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities*, An. I. H. Poincare, An. Nonlineaire, 21(6), 767–793, (2004)

[19] Ghoussoub N., Robert F. *The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy–Sobolev inequalities* Geom. Funct. Anal. 16(6), 1201–1245, (2006)

[20] Ghoussoub N., Robert F. *Sobolev inequalities for the Hardy–Schrodinger operator: extremals and critical dimensions*, Bull. Math. Sci. 6, 89-144, (2016)

[21] Nazarov A. I. Hardy Sobolev Inequalities in a Cone *Journal of Math. Sciences*, 132(4), 419–426, (2006)

[22] Tertikas A. Critical Phenomena in Linear Elliptic Problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **154** (1998), 42-66.

[23] Vázquez J.L. and Zuazua E. The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential, J. Funct. Anal. **173** (2000), 103-153.